Debriefing Assessment for Simulation in Healthcare (DASH) Student Version[©]

Directions: Please summarize your impression of the introduction and debriefing in this simulation-based exercise. Use the following scale to rate the "Behaviors" and the six "Elements." If a listed behavior is impossible to assess (e.g., how the instructor handled upset people if no one got upset), leave it blank and don't let that influence your evaluation. The instructor may do some things well and some things not so well within each Element. Do your best to rate the **overall effectiveness for the**



whole Element guided by your observation of the Behaviors that define it. The overall Element rating is not an average of the Behavior Scores; it's your overall impression of how well the Element was executed by the instructor.

Rating Scale

| Rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Descriptor | Extremely Ineffective / | Consistently Ineffective / | Mostly Ineffective / | Somewhat Effective / | Mostly Effective / | Consistently Effective / | Extremely Effective / |
| | Detrimental | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good | Outstanding |

Element 1 assesses the introduction at the beginning of a simulation-based exercise.

Skip this element if you did not participate in the introduction.

If there was no introduction and you felt one was needed to orient you, your rating should reflect this.

| Element 1 | Rating Eleme | |
|--|--------------|----------------|
| The instructor set the stage for an engaging learning experience. | | |
| Behavior | | Behavior Score |
| A. The instructor introduced him/herself, described the simulation environment, what vexpected during the activity, and introduced the learning objectives. | would be | |
| B. The instructor explained the strengths and weaknesses of the simulation and what get the most out of simulated clinical experiences. | I could d | lo to |
| C. The instructor attended to logistical details as necessary such as toilet location, for and schedule. | od availal | bility, |
| D. The instructor made me feel stimulated to share my thoughts and questions about simulation and debriefing and reassured me that I wouldn't be shamed or humiliated it | - | ~ |

Elements 2 through 6 assess a debriefing.

| Element 2 | | Rating Element | |
|--|-----------|----------------|-------|
| The instructor maintained an engaging context for learning. | | | |
| Behavior | | Behavior S | Score |
| A. The instructor clarified the purpose of the debriefing, what was expected of me, a instructor's role in the debriefing. | nd the | | |
| B. The instructor acknowledged concerns about realism and helped me learn even t case(s) were simulated. | hough th | ne | |
| C. I felt that the instructor respected participants. | | | |
| D. The focus was on learning and not on making people feel bad about making mista | akes. | | |
| E. Participants could share thoughts and emotions without fear of being shamed or h | numiliate | ed. | |

| Element 3 | Rating Element 3 | | |
|--|------------------|-------|-----------|
| The instructor structured the debriefing in an organized way. | | - | |
| Behavior | | Behav | ior Score |
| A. The conversation progressed logically rather than jumping around from point to poi | nt. | | |
| | | | |
| B. Near the beginning of the debriefing, I was encouraged to share my genuine reaction | ons to th | е | |
| case(s) and the instructor seemed to take my remarks seriously. | | | |
| C. In the middle, the instructor helped me analyze actions and thought processes as v | ve reviev | ved | |
| the case(s). | | | |
| D. At the end of the debriefing, there was a summary phase where the instructor help | ed tie | | |
| observations together and relate the case(s) to ways I can improve my future clinical | practice. | | |

| Element 4 The instructor provoked in-depth discussions that led me to reflect on my performance. | Ratir | ng Element 4 | |
|---|------------|----------------|---|
| Behavior | | Behavior Score | e |
| A. The instructor used concrete examples—not just abstract or generalized comment think about my performance. | | | |
| B. The instructor's point of view was clear; I didn't have to guess what the instructor was thinking. | | | |
| C. The instructor listened and made people feel heard by trying to include everyone, paraphrasing, | | sing, | |
| and using non verbal actions like eye contact and nodding, etc. | | | |
| D. The instructor used video or recorded data to support analysis and learning. | | | |
| E. If someone got upset during the debriefing, the instructor was respectful and const to help them deal with it. | ructive in | n trying | |

| Element 5 The instructor identified what I did well or poorly—and why. | Ratin | g Element 5 | |
|---|-----------|---------------|----|
| Behavior | | Behavior Scor | ·e |
| A. I received concrete feedback on my performance or that of my team based on the honest and accurate view. | instructo | r's | |
| B. The instructor helped explore what I was thinking or trying to accomplish at key moments. | | | |

| Element 6 The instructor helped me see how to improve or how to sustain good performance | Rating Eleme | | ent 6 |
|--|--------------|---------|----------|
| Behavior | | Behavi | or Score |
| A. The instructor helped me learn how to improve weak areas or how to repeat good | performa | ince. | |
| B. The instructor was knowledgeable and used that knowledge to help me see how to | o perform | well in | |
| the future. | | | |
| C. The instructor made sure we covered important topics. | | | |